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Learning to spell is a complex and challenging process, especially for young learners.

Alongside reading and spelling universals there are also significant writing system specifics that may challenge young readers and writers.

Few studies have examined spelling development in non-alphabetic scripts.
(Daniels \& Share, 2018)

## Our choice of Arabic is motivated by a number of factors:

- Arabic is the sixth most spoken language in the world with close to 274 million speakers (Eberhard, 2022). It is the official language of 22 countries (Bokova, 2012).
- The Arabic abjad is unique.
- Shedding light on these unique features is essential for a complete science of literacy learning.
- Growing number of studies have begun to investigate the effect of Arabic visual-orthographic features on reading, but none has yet examined this issue in spelling
(e.g., Asaad \& Eviatar, 2013; Dai, Ibrahim, \& Share, 2013 ; Ibrahim, Khateb \& Taha, 2013).


## The current study



## Arabic orthography



Visual-orthographic features
(i) The similarity of many basic letter forms


## (ii) Ligaturing/Cursivity

## 23 letters can connect to the adjacent

 letter on both sides.6 letters can connect only from the right but not the left side.
أـ

Fully connected


Partly connected مولود
mawlu:d 'born'

Entirely unconnected
ورود
wuru:d 'roses'

## (iii) Allography: The variability of the letter forms

Position in the word initial, medial, or final


Examples of four letter-forms of different letters

| Final unligatured | Final ligatured | Medial ligatured | Initial (or medial not rightligatured) | IPA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | رطبب | جبـب | بـرد | $b a: ?$ | 1 |
| ع أسبوع ع | شـمع | سعـيد | عـيد | ¢i:n | 2 |
| جار ه | وجـه | $\begin{gathered} f \\ \text { شهر } \end{gathered}$ | هـلدل هـ | $h a: ?$ | 3 |

(iv) Tashkil and multi-linearity

## letter dots <br> ض ت ش


morphosyntactic


## The goal of the current study

To examine the frequency and distribution of visual-orthographic spelling errors and their development across three grades (1st, 2nd, and 4th grades)

## Method

- Participants: 96 pupils from three different grades: 32 first graders, 32 second graders, and 32 fourth graders ( 16 girls from each grade).

Materials: Picture Story Writing Task "Frog, where are you?" (adapted from Mayer, 1969).

Procedure: The same task was administered to all three age groups in the four schools (around 45 mins ), toward the end of the school year.

Picture Story Writing Task "Frog, where are you?" (adapted from Mayer, 1969).


## Error Analysis

## Corpus of 45 key words:

common to almost all productions across the three grade levels

## 37 content

words

| 19 nouns | 18 verbs |
| :---: | :---: |
| ضُفْفَ | بَحَ٪ |
| $d^{¢} u f d a ¢$ | $b a \hbar a \theta a$ |
| 'frog' | 'looked for' |

## 8 function words <br> fi: <br> 'in'

## Error Analysis



## Visual－orthographic categories

| Visual－orthographic categories | Incorrect Spelling | Correct spelling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Letter－form confusion | 齐㝝 f'aus | ＇الغرفة＇the room＇ |
| Ligaturing | 㱷 | كلبه＇${ }^{\text {＇his dog＇}}$ |
| Allography | 3115 | كلبُ＇ |
| Multi－linearity | \&i: | （الضّفّع＇the frog＇ |
| Letter shape formation | च if | ضفعي＇my frog＇ |
| Other（unclassifiable） | 与它为 | يَنظرّان＇they are looking |

## Results

Grade 1 Grade 2 Grade 4
Total words produced $\quad 43.1$ (9.67) $\quad \mathbf{6 4 . 6}$ (20.55) $\quad \mathbf{8 2 . 9}$ (32.43)

Mean words out of the $\quad 29.1$ (6.34) $\quad \mathbf{3 4 . 9}$ (9.73) $\quad$ 46.1 (14.98) corpus of 45 key words

Total spelling errors (in $\quad 20.7$ (10.39) $\quad 22.2$ (10.78) $\quad 22.7$ (14.64) the $\mathbf{4 5}$-word corpus)

| Error rate per word $\quad \mathbf{0 . 7 1}(0.32)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 8}(0.37)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8}(0.25)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Means (\%) of error rates for the combined visual-orthographic category and the additional categories at three grade levels.


Means (\%) of error rates for the separate visual-orthographic categories across three grade levels.


## Conclusions and teaching implications

The visual-orthographic dimensions pose non-trivial challenges for acquiring basic skills that are essential for competent written language production.


More emphasis should be allocated to the instruction of those visual-orthographic features of written Arabic that children find difficult at the onset of literacy acquisition.

This implication is supported by Treiman's (1993) conclusion that children can master and gain knowledge of the easy aspects of literacy learning on their own, but they need explicit instruction regarding challenging aspects that they struggle with.
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