The Representation of Distinctive Features in Writing Systems: Omission and Commission

Amalia E. Gnanadesikan AWLL14, Temple University Rome, 11 November 2023

# In the study of spoken language

Word

Syllables

Morphemes

Phonemes

Lexical semantics

# **Encoding into Writing**



**Syllables** 

Morphemes

Phonemes

Lexical semantics

**Syllabograms** 

Letters

Morphograms

Determinatives

# Jakobsonian Phonology: Distinctive Features

Word

Syllables

Morphemes

Phonemes /t<sup>h</sup> æ p/ Lexical semantics

Feature feature feature feature feature feature

## **Distinctive features**

Recognize that phonemes are (1) not equally un/related and (2) contrastively defined

Group phonemes into natural classes
/m/ /n/ /ŋ/ = [+nasal]

Distinguish phonemes /z/ vs /s/, [± voice]

✓ Can be used to describe phonological derivations, [+voice] → [-voice]/ \_\_ [-voice]
✓ Not intuitive
✓ Intuitive ↔ Written?

# Writing Distinctive Features?

Word

Syllables

Morphemes

Phonemes /t<sup>h</sup> æ p/ Lexical semantics

Feature feature feature feature feature feature

Sign or part of sign?

# Encoding Relationships Between Phonemes

In history of Roman alphabet: occasionally Latin

$$\{C\} \rightarrow \{G\} = /k/ \rightarrow /g/ [+voice]$$

Old English

 $\{D, d\} \rightarrow \{\overline{D}, \overline{\delta}\} = /d / \rightarrow /\overline{\delta} \sim \theta / [+continuant]$ 

But {O} vs {Q}; {E} vs {F} !

Awareness of similarities

But not reuse of featural sign pieces

# Hints at Relationships

#### Devanagari (Hindi)

	म /m	\ <i>\$</i>	₹ /b <sup>ʰ</sup> /	प	/p/	দ	/p <sup>h</sup> /	Labial?	
	स /s/	व	ט/ ]	ब	/b/				
	ट /t/		ਠ /tʰ	/	ढ / त	<sup>∤</sup> ^/	Retro	oflex?	
	ड /d/		द /d/						and the second
	ख /kʰ	a/ `	ख़ /xa	/ 3	ज <b>/d</b> ʒa	/	ज़ /za/	Fricativ	ve?
	<mark> </mark>	a/	फ़ /fa/	5	<mark>┲ /k</mark> a/	1	क़ /qa	/	
Th	Thaana (Dhivehi)								
ן יית	'n/	<i>e</i> /s	ר/	<u>י</u> ן/ דק	ļ/	ð I	/ेः/	Palataliza	ation
× /	r/	<i>e</i> /z	/	<u>ح /t</u>	/	50/	ʧ/	?	
	Similarities between phonemes								

# Does Writing Actually Encode Features?

#### Only one pair

Can't be sure whether general similarity or actually identifying a distinctive feature

#### Multiple pairs

- Demonstrate encoding of systematic similarity between phonemes...
- That vary in voicing, continuance, palatalization, etc.

## **Vowel Features**

#### Fronting of vowels (Roman/German)

Α	Ä /ε/	U	Ü /y/	0	Ö /ø/
[+back]	[-back]	[+back]	[-back]	[+back]	[-back]

## Nasalization of vowels (Devanagari/Hindi) ③ 시a/ ③ 시a/ 종 시a/ 토 /i/ 호 /u/ 호 /u/ [-nasal] [+nasal] [-nasal] [+nasal] [-nasal] [+nasal]

### **Consonant Features**

#### Roman/Czech

C /ts/Č /tf/D /d/Ď /JN /n/Ň /n/PalatalizationR /r/Ř /r/S /s/Š /J/T /t/Ť /c/

#### Voicing of obstruents (Hiragana/Japanese)

か <b>/ka/</b>	ガ <sup>ゞ</sup> /ga/	さ /sa/	ざ/za/
[-voice]	[+voice]	[-voice]	[+voice]
た/ta/	だ /da/	は <b>/ha/</b>	ば <b>/ba/</b>
[-voice]	[+voice]	[-voice]	[+voice]

# Features in Han'gŭl

	Non-Stop/ Affricate	Plain Stop/ Affricate	Aspirated	Glottalized/ Tense
Labial	ㅁ/m/	ㅂ/p/	江/p <sup>h</sup> /	<sup>비비</sup> /p*/
Alveolar	ㄴ/n/	匚 /t/	匞/t <sup>h</sup> /	ττ /t*/
Velar		□ /k/	ㅋ /kʰ/	ר⊓ <b>/k*/</b>
Glottal	Ċ /ŋ/		ㅎ /h/	
Sibilant ("Dental")	入 /s/	不 /ʧ/	六 /ʧ <sup>h</sup> /	从 /s*/
Liquid	ㄹ/r~l/			

Includes place, aspiration, glottalization, sibilance, "strengthening" (Col 1 – Col 2)



# Features in Carrier Syllabics

1	Plain C	Plain C		Aspirated C		talized C	
Coronal Stops	⊂ /ta/ (	⊂/ta/ (da)		☐ /tʰa/		𝔄 /t′a/	
Velar Stops	E /ka/ (	E/ka/(ga)		8 /k <sup>h</sup> a/		<b>8</b> /k'a/	
Coronal Affricates	$\sim$ / (3d/		돈 /tsʰa/		æ	/ts'a/	
	Aspirated Lateral	Late Affri		Aspirat Lateral Affrica		Glottalize Lateral Affricate	
C la	C Iha	C	dla	Ċt	la	Et tl'a	

(Poser 2010)

# Writing Sometimes Shows Features

Especially Han'gŭl and Carrier Syllabics, but also others

- Is there another category of writing system (featural)? (Sampson 2015)
- Probably not, but that doesn't mean it's irrelevant

Writing systems operate at many levels.
 Some featural awareness predates featural theory

# **Observed Features (By Commission)**

- Consonantal/Vocalic: "alphasyllabaries" Vowels
  - [-back] Roman/German
  - [+nasal] Devanagari
- Major Place: Han'gŭl
- Aspiration: Han'gŭl, Carrier
- Glottalization: Han'gŭl, Carrier
- Voicing: kana
- "Minor Place", e.g. Palatalization: Roman/Czech, Thaana(?)
- Laterality: Carrier
- Sibilance: Han'gŭl

# Another Way to Show Awareness of Features

#### By omission

Akkadian (c.2300 BCE – 75 CE) (Marcus 1978) CV, CVC and VC syllabograms

Coronals & velars: voiceless, voiced, glottal

咱	F1	) Mei	শ
/tu/	/du/	/tu/	/ut/~/ud/~/ut/
何	×][€	頂	<b>▶</b> ₩
/ki/	/gi/	/qi/	/ik/ ~ /ig/ ~ /ig/

# Further (Systematic) Omissions

Younger Futhark/Old Norse: voicing (Dresher 2016)

₿	1	Ч
/p/~/b/	/t/ ~ /d/	/k/~/g/
ש	Þ	*
/φ/	/0/	/h/

Cypriot syllabary/Greek: voicing and aspiration (Chadwick 1987)



## Partial Omission 1

#### Linear B: Aspiration and (most) Voicing (Chadwick 1987)



"Special status of Coronals" (Paradis & Prunet 1991)

# Partial Omission 2

#### Cherokee: Some Aspiration (Montgomery-Anderson 2008)

I	⊖	∕k <sup>w</sup> i/~/k <sup>hw</sup> i/	∕∕∽	∂	<b>&amp;</b>
/k <sup>w</sup> a/~/k <sup>hw</sup> a/	/k <sup>w</sup> e/~/k <sup>hw</sup> e/		/kʷo/~/kʰʷo/	/k <sup>w</sup> u/~/k <sup>hw</sup> u/	∕k <sup>w</sup> ə̃/~∕k <sup>hw</sup> ə̃/
<mark>€</mark>	<b>ம</b>	y	A	J	E
∕ka∕ (ga)*	/ke/~ /kʰe/	∕ki/∼ /kʰi/	/ko/~ /k <sup>h</sup> o/	/ku/~/k <sup>h</sup> u/	/kə̃/ ~/kʰə̃/
୭ /kʰa/					
し	S	J	V	S	<b>က</b>
/ta/ (da)	/te/	/ti/	/to/~/t <sup>h</sup> o/	/tu/~/t <sup>h</sup> u/	/tə̃/~/tʰə̃/
₩ /t <sup>h</sup> a/ (ta)	Ն /t <sup>h</sup> e/	J /t <sup>h</sup> i/			1 JK

\* /ka/ is one of the most common Cherokee syllables (Mongomery-Anderson 2008: 95)

## What We Don't See

	* **	$\diamond$	X	$\otimes$
/p/~/t/~/k/	/b/~/d/~/g/	/f/~/s/~/x/	/v/~/z/~/γ/	/m/~/n/~/ŋ/
-sonorant -continuant -voice	-sonorant -continuant +voice	-sonorant +continuant -voice	-sonorant +continuant +voice	+sonorant +nasal

Assuming we are not in an assimilation context! (cf. Hiragana  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$ ; Thaana and )



Is that tap or pat or cat...?

## What We Also Don't See

<u>)</u> ) <del>)</del>	<u>**</u> ** <u>*</u>	$\underline{\diamond} \diamond \diamond$	XXX	$\underline{\Diamond} \Diamond \overline{\varTheta}$
/p/ /t/ /k/	/b/ /d/ /g/	/f/ /s/ /x/	/v/ /z/ /γ/	/m/ /n/ /ŋ/
-sonorant -continuant -voice	-sonorant -continuant +voice	-sonorant +continuant -voice	-sonorant +continuant +voice	+sonorant +nasal

Major place is not denoted by diacritics or sign modification

## Not All Features are Alike

(Major) Place cannot be omitted...

Se...Or be diacritical/modification

Voice/aspiration/glottal are often omitted
 Voice, aspiration, glottalization can bundle together

Laryngeal Class in Phonology Voicing, aspiration, glottalization tend to behave as a class in speech > E.g. final neutralization Feature geometry Root (e.g. McCarthy 1988) [consonantal] "Laryngeal Node" [sonorant] Laryngeal Place [voice] [sp glottis] [constr glottis]

## **Phonological Classes**

 Place and Laryngeal also behave differently in spoken language
 "Why Place and Voice are Different" (Lombardi 2001)



# Why are Place and Laryngeal Different?

One answer:

Laryngeal features can be absent; Place features cannot be (there are no truly placeless Cs) (Lombardi 2001)

But why?

Place features are more essential to defining the contrasts of a language's inventory of phonemes

# Contrastive Hierarchy: Younger Fuþark



(From Dresher 2016: 6)



# Another Contrastive Hierarchy: Linear B



OF

## **Contrastive hierarchy**

Allow some features to be more basic than others (Dresher 2009)

- Writing systems also treat some features as more basic than others
- Place is basic; laryngeal peripheral
- Hierarchies must be constrained—how?
- Not all imaginable writing systems are possible

Not all feature hierarchies are possible

## Conclusions

Writings systems Were millennia ahead of phonological theory Confirm that features belong to different classes that ✓ behave differently ✓ are hierarchical Can usefully guide phonological inquiry

Thank you!

O C

#### References

- **Chadwick, John**. 1987. *Linear B and Related Scripts*. London: British Museum.
- Dresher, B. Elan. 2009. The Contrastive Hierarchy in Phonology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- **Dresher, B. Elan**. 2016. Contrastive feature hierarchies and Germanic phonology: Jørgen Rischel's Analysis of the Scandinavian runic reform. Presented at the 43rd annual LACUS Forum, Saint Mary's University, Halifax, August 2016.
- Lombardi, Linda. Why place and voice are different: constraintspecific alternations in Optimality Theory. In Linda Lombardi (ed.) Segmental Phonology in Optimality Theory: Constraints and representations. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 13–45.

Marcus, David. 1978. A Manual of Akkadian. Lanham, MD: University Press of America.

McCarthy, John J. 1988. Feature geometry and dependency: A review. *Phonetica* 45: 373–418.

- Montgomery-Anderson, Brad. 2008. A Reference Grammar of Oklahoma Cherokee. PhD dissertation, University of Kansas.
- Paradis, Carole & Prunet, Jean-François. 1991. The Special Status of Coronals: Internal and External Evidence. San Diego: Academic Press
- **Poser, William J**. 2010. *Introduction to the Carrier Syllabics*. Unpublished ms.

Sampson, Geoffrey. 2015. Writing Systems, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Sheffield: Equinox.

# How Place and Laryngeal are Different

 $\mathcal{C}_1 V C_2 . C_3 V$  $\mathcal{C}_2$  C<sub>3</sub> often required to share features When assimilation fails Plaryngeal features default to "plain" (voiceless, unaspirated, unglottalized) Place may default to "glottal" (/h/ or /?/) but may also trigger epenthesis or deletion:  $\mathcal{C}_1 V.C_3 V$  or  $C_1 V.C_2 V.C_3 V$